1	effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C	
2	§ 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	
3		
4	SO STIPULATED:	
5		
6		JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
7		United States Attorney
8	DATED: January 30, 2008	/s/ Denise Barton
9		DENISE MARIE BARTON Assistant United States Attorney
10		
11		
12	DATED 1 20 2000	
13	DATED: January 30, 2008	STEVEN G. KALAR
14		Attorney for MELVIN PARKER
15		
16	As the Court found on January 23, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds	
17	that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and	
18	the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act	
19	calculations from January 23, 2008 through February 20, 2008 for effective preparation of	
20	counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would	
21	deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the	
22	exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. <u>See</u> 18 U.S.C.	
23	§3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	TES DISTRICT
24	SO ORDERED.	ATT.
25		PERED
26	DATED: 2/1/08 IT IS SO ORDERED	
27		
28	Z Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton	
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Excluding Time, 07-668	